

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/661,219 | 09/12/2003 | Anthony J. Baerlocher | 0112300-1401 | 6815 |
| 29159 | 7590 05/19/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC | | | NGUYEN, KIM T | |
| P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| cinerido, n | L 00090-1133 | | 3713 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/661,219 | BAERLOCHER ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Kim T. Nguyen | 3713 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the property of the plant of the property of the propert | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DISTRICT DISTRIC | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | | = action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-147</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-147</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9)🖂 | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * 3 | See the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the certified copies not receive | a. | | | |
| Attachmen | tte) | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ite | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/12/03, 3/29/04, 4 ZZ/04, 12 1 05</u> | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/661,219 Page 2

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

In the specification page 1, in the "PRIORITY CLAIM" section, the updated data such as "now US. Patent No. ___", or now "abandoned" should be inserted to the copending patent application.

Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 98-149 have been renumbered 96-147. To avoid confusion, applicant is advised to use the newly renumbered claims in the future communication.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re*

Art Unit: 3713

Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Page 3

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-147 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-102 of U.S. Patent No. 7,040,983. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-147 of the present application disclose the same subject matter as taught in claims 1-102 of the patent No. 7,040,983. Further, determining a number of the selections to display to a player based on a wager made by the player would have been old and well known in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-147 would be allowable if a terminal disclaimer is filed to overcome the double patenting rejection, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: prior art of record fails to show or fairly suggest a gaming device and a method for operating a gaming device as set forth in independent claims 1, 22, 62, 72, 76, 100, 142 and 147 in which the gaming device comprises a base including at least two characteristics; a plurality of selections, each of the selections including at least

Application/Control Number: 10/661,219

Art Unit: 3713

two characteristics; and a processor operable to determine a number of the selections

Page 4

to display to a player in the game based on a wager made by the player, enable the

player to pick selections until none of the characteristics of the unpicked selections

match one of the characteristics of the base or until there are no selections remaining,

determine an award based on the picked selections which includes at least one

characteristic that matches one of the characteristics of the base, and provide the

award to the player when none of the characteristics of the unpicked selections match

one of the characteristics of the base or when there are no selections remaining in the

game.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kim Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-

4441. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday during business

hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Xuan Thai, can be reached on 571-272-7147. The central official fax

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

kn

Date: May 12, 2006

Kim Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3713